Assurance Summary

VERSION 1 24.11.2021

Appendix A1



1 – SCHEME DETAILS					
Project Name	O0048 – SCC Nether Edge and Crookes (Experimental) Active Neighbourhood	Type of funding	Grant		
Grant Recipient	Sheffield City Council	Total Scheme Cost	£589,701		
MCA Executive Board	TEB	MCA Funding	£589,701		
Programme name	ATF/Gainshare	% MCA Allocation	100%		
Current Gateway Stage	FBC	MCA Development costs	N/A for this stage		
		% of total MCA allocation	N/A for this stage		

2 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Is it clear what the MCA is being asked to fund?

Following public consultation and detailed design, costs for two Active Travel Neighbourhoods are estimated as shown in the last two columns below. The costs as submitted at OBC are shown for comparison.

	ОВС	FBC		
				Total
	2021/22	2021/22	2022/23	
Preparatory Cost	£50,900			
Professional Fees (Consultation)	£16,333	£99,900	£28,000	£127,900
Acquisition of Land/ Buildings				

Delivery Cost construction materials construction costs, including traffic management costs etc. (A detailed Bill of quantities will be appended to the FBC)*	£561,921	£434,710		£434,710
Vehicles, Plant, Equipment				
Risk Allowance/ Contingency	£166,000	£27,091		£27,091
Inflation				
Other				
Total	£795,154	£561,701	£28,000	£589,701

Public consultation has resulted in a clearer definition of the project and costings based on engineering estimates of requirements (and Bills of Quantities). Some elements of the scheme may not proceed if opposition to them is strong.

3. STRATEGIC CASE	
Scheme Rationale	Does the scheme have a clearly stated rationale and provide a strong justification for public funding? Yes – unchanged from OBC.
Strategic policy fit	How well does the scheme align with the strategic objectives of the SEP and RAP? Adequately – unchanged from OBC
Contribution to Carbon Net Zero	Does this scheme align with the strategic objective to achieve Carbon Net Zero? Yes – unchanged from OBC
SMART scheme objectives	State the SMART scheme objective as presented in the business case. Unchanged from OBC Is there a 'golden thread' between the strategic objectives (see 3.2) and the scheme objectives (see 3.8)? Yes – unchanged from OBC
Options assessment	Is there a genuine Options assessment and is there a clear rationale for the selection of short-listed options and the choice of the Preferred Way Forward? Progress has been made since OBC in defining the preferred "way forward" – versus doing nothing or doing minimum. The preferred do something option may not eventuate exactly as planned as it has been decided to involve the local residents in a feedback process post construction to ensure "buy-in" and maximise chances for success.
Statutory requirements and adverse consequences	Does the scheme have any Statutory Requirements? Yes – TROs for waiting and parking restrictions but planning approval not required.

FBC stage only – Confirmation of alignment with agreed MCA outcomes (Stronger, Greener, Fairer).	Yes – queue Parkir Possil Does Yes Have	as flagged at 0 as and delays a spaces will pole diversion from the scheme still as as a space.	OBC – traffic dat traffic lights. probably need om bus for should align with straight of approval gra	iversion sho This will be to be reloca ort trips swite ategic object	ould put traffic monitored. Inted within the oched to AT octives?			could lead	to
4. VALUE FOR MONEY Monetised Benefits:									
VFM Indicator			Value	e			R/A/G		
Net Present Social Value (£)			£2,377,	850					
Benefit Cost Ratio / GVA per £1 of SYMC Investment	Cost Ratio / GVA per £1 of SYMCA		5.41						
Cost per Job		n/a							
Non-Monetised Benefits:						<u> </u>			
Non-Quantified Benefits		None							
Value for Money Statement									
Taking into consideration the monetised at the scheme has the potential for achies. 5. RISK What are the most significant risks and is the top 5 risks in the QRA in te	ving very	high value fo	or money.		·	ent good value	for money?		
Ref No. Risk	iiiio oi ex	occieu value.	Likelihood (High, Med, Low)	Impact (High, Med, Low)		Mitigation		Mean risk cost	

2	Unable to meet approvals timetable (SCR & SCC)	med	med	Monitor and assess impact on programme ensure resources are available to meet programme deadlines etc.	£10,000
1	Insufficient access to materials and resources.	low	low	Early discussions with contractor	£3,666
4	Covid restrictions introduced / Contractors workforce need to self-isolate	high	high	Ensure contractor understands programme delivery priorities	£3,333
6	Bad weather restricts delivery	low	high	Ensure contractor understands programme delivery priorities	£3,333
5	Cost exceed budget.	med	high	Ensure cost estimates are robust and reflect latest data re market rates.	£3,000

Do the significant risks require any contract conditions? (e.g. clawback on outcomes)

No

Are there any significant risks associated with securing the full funding of the scheme?

No

Are there any key risks that need to be highlighted in relation to the procurement strategy?

No – Amey, already procured.

6. DELIVERY

Is the timetable for delivery reasonable and has the promoter identified opportunities for acceleration?

Yes, Yes – via involvement of current FW contractor in detailed design

Is the procurement strategy clear with defined milestones?

Yes, N/A

What is the level of cost certainty and is this sufficient at this stage of the assurance process?

95% (for the ideal scope of work). Unknown.

Has the promoter confirmed they will cover any cost overruns without reducing the benefits of the scheme?

Yes, although only if resources allow (Section 5.7)

Has the promoter demonstrated clear project governance and identified the SRO?

Yes.

Has the SRO or other appropriate Officer signed of this business case?

Yes.

Has public consultation taken place and if so, is there public support for the scheme?

Yes. Yes.

Are monitoring and evaluation procedures in place?

Yes

7. LEGAL

Has the scheme considered Subsidy Control compliance or does the promotor still need to seek legal advice?

Yes

8. RECOMMENDATION AND CONDITIONS

Recommendation	Proceed to Contract
Payment Basis	Defrayal
Conditions of Awar	d (including clawback clauses)

The following conditions must be satisfied before contract execution.

1. Submission of MCA Appendices B to agree detailed schedule of inclusive growth indicators and targets (e.g. % of [previously unemployed] locals offered permanent contracts and apprenticeships, mentoring and school engagement and engagement with the local supply chain) to ensure the project delivers wider socio-economic benefits and that these can be captured, monitored and reported.

The conditions above should be fully satisfied by 10/03/2022. Failure to do so could lead to the withdrawal of approval.

The following condition must be satisfied before drawdown of funding.

2. Formal confirmation of commitment to address any cost overruns without unduly compromising project outputs and outcomes.

The following condition must be included in the contract

3. Clawback will be applied on outputs